

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/851,650	05/08/2001	Philip J. Barr	300622001610 4395	
25225	7590 01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			KERR, KATHLEEN M	
3811 VALLEY SUITE 500	Y CENTRE DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 92130-2332		1652	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/851,650	BARR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,6,8-14,16,30-34,37 and 39-4	<u>1</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	, –	-	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In Claim 1, the phrase "said ACP synthase is not associated with fatty acid synthesis" has not been previously examined and raises issues of new matter as well as new issues of enablement and descriptions that would require further consideration...

> PONNATHAPUACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CLITTER 1800